

Employee Compensation and Classification for FY 2016

July 13, 2015



Recommendations / **Action Items**

> **Options for** Compensation

Classification Structure

Fiscal Impacts

FY 2016 COLA

Next Steps

Overview

- Mayor and Council's evaluation and discussion of the City's comp. and class. structure started in Oct 2014
- Since then, the Mayor and Council have held several meetings (detailed under "Mayor and Council History") to discuss comp. philosophy, classification structure, study results and recommendations, and implementation approaches
- At the most recent discussion and instruction session, the Mayor and Council directed staff to provide more info. on different comp. and class. topics
- Detailed staff responses are provided in the agenda materials (green sheets)



Recommendations / **Action Items**

> **Options for** Compensation

Classification **Structure**

Fiscal Impacts

FY 2016 COLA

Next Steps

Recommendations / Action Items

- 1. Maintain the adopted comp. philosophy or modify the philosophy to accommodate step pay plans
- 2. Approve a new classification structure for FY 2016 consistent with the results of the Study
- 3. Approve a new pay structure (single or multiple) consistent with the info. provided by the consultant
- 4. Approve an implementation approach
- 5. Decide whether or not to shift pay ranges in FY 2016 by the 2% cost of living adjustment
- 6. Decide whether or not additional compensation or performance awards should be provided in FY 2016



Recommendations / **Action Items**

> **Options for** Compensation

Classification Structure

Fiscal Impacts

FY 2016 COLA

Next Steps

Options for Compensation

- Based on prior sessions with Mayor and Council, staff developed two compensation and classification options:
 - **TRACT A** provides information on the single, open range pay plan based on the Mayor and Council's direction in January 2015
 - **TRACT B** is a new option developed in response to a request by a majority of the Mayor and Council via email on June 16, 2015
- ATTACHMENT A provides information on TRACT A and ATTACHMENT B provides information on TRACT B



Recommendations / **Action Items**

> **Options for** Compensation

Classification **Structure**

Fiscal Impacts

FY 2016 COLA

Next Steps

Options for Compensation – TRACT B

- TRACT B is a new option that represents a **combo** open range and step plan
 - ✓ Administrative employees will remain on the originally proposed open range (page B-9)
 - ✓ Police and AFSCME employees will move to a newly proposed step plan (page B-10) as developed by the City's consultant
- The proposed step plans have **16 steps** with increments of 2.7% for AFSCME and 3.0 to 3.2% for **Police**



Recommendations / **Action Items**

> **Options for** Compensation

Classification **Structure**

Fiscal Impacts

FY 2016 COLA

Next Steps

Classification Structure

- During the Mayor and Council's discussion of the new classification structure, the Mayor and Council directed staff to reinstate the Deputy City Clerk position
- Included in both TRACT A and TRACT B is the reinstatement of the **Deputy City Clerk at a grade 111**
- This is the only position that has changed since the proposed classification structure was presented to the Mayor and Council on April 15, 2015
- The proposed classification structure is included with both draft resolutions in ATTACHMENT A and ATTACHMENT B



Recommendations / **Action Items**

> **Options for** Compensation

Classification **Structure**

Fiscal Impacts

FY 2016 COLA

Next Steps

Fiscal Impacts

- General Fund fiscal impacts for TRACT A and TRACT B are described in ATTACHMENT C
- ATTACHMENT C contains a color coded summary page that **shows which options are sustainable** with minor adjustments or will require major adjustments to future revenues and/or expenditures
- ATTACHMENT C focuses on six areas:
 - 1. structure
 - 2. implementation
 - 3. performance award in FY 2016
 - 4. performance award in future years
 - 5. impact to the forecast
 - 6. policy indicator

			50% - Lump Sum					50% - To Base								
	Structure	Open Range		FSCME, Polic	<u> </u>		Open Range for Admin, AFSCME, Police					Open Range for Admin, AFSCME, Police				
	Implementation	Current Rang	ge Penetratio	n Capped at !	50%		Current Range Penetration Capped at 50%					Current Range Penetration Capped at 50%				
_	Performance Award in FY 2016	Annual Leave	e consistent v	vith Chart 1 i	n green sheet	t	0% to 2.5% lu (amount bas	•	•	ate		0% to 2.5% to base on anniversary date (amount based on performance eval)				
ē	Performance Award in Future Yrs	TBD, Elemen	t 4 of Study				TBD, Element 4 of Study					TBD, Element 4 of Study				
요	Impact to General Fund Forecast	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
0		1,170,042	533,031	507,569	1,510,406	1,769,116	2,147,594	533,031	507,569	1,510,406	1,769,116	1,658,818	1,543,444	1,552,006	2,590,073	2,885,266
CT A -	Policy Indicator	✓	>	>	×	×	>	>	>	×	×	>	~	×	×	×
		60% - Leave						60)% - Lump Su	m		60% - To Base				
	Structure	Open Range	for Admin, A	FSCME, Polic	e		Open Range	for Admin, A	FSCME, Polic	e		Open Range for Admin, AFSCME, Police				
A	Implementation	Current Rang	ge Penetratio	n Capped at (50%		Current Range Penetration Capped at 60%					Current Range Penetration Capped at 60%				
TR	Performance Award in FY 2016	Annual Leave	e consistent v	with Chart 1 i	n green sheet	t	0% to 2.5% lu (amount bas	ımp sum on a ed on perfori	•	ate		0% to 2.5% to base on anniversary date (amount based on performance eval)				
	Performance Award in Future Yrs	TBD, Elemen	t 4 of Study				TBD, Elemen	t 4 of Study				TBD, Element 4 of Study				
	Impact to General Fund Forecast	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
	Impact to General Fund Forecast	1,366,619	736,216	717,596	1,727,517	1,993,564	2,349,086	736,216	717,596	1,727,517	1,993,564	1,857,852	1,751,710	1,767,285	2,812,613	3,115,325
	Policy Indicator	✓	>	>	×	×	y	>	×	×	×	~	×	×	×	×

		50% - Leave)% - Lump Su	m		50% - To Base					
	Structure	Open Range	for Admin; S	tep for AFSCI	ME and Police		Open Range	for Admin; St	ep for AFSCN	ME and Police		Open Range for Admin; Step for AFSCME and Police					
	Implementation	Current Rang	ge Penetratio	n Capped at	50%		Current Range Penetration Capped at 50%					Current Range Penetration Capped at 50%					
	Implementation	(up to neare:	st step for AF	SCME and Po	olice)		(up to nearest step for AFSCME and Police)					(up to nearest step for AFSCME and Police)					
Q	Performance Award in FY 2016	Annual Leave	e consistent :	with Chart 1 i	n green shee	t	0% to 2.5% lu (amount bas	ımp sum on a ed on perfori				Administrative - 3% to base on anniversary AFSCME - Step to base on anniversary Police - Step to base on anniversary					
le le	Performance Award in Future Yrs (for employees not at top of scale)	Administrati	ve - TBD, Ele	ment 4 of Stu	ıdy		Administrative - TBD, Element 4 of Study					Administrative - TBD, Element 4 of Study					
St		AFSCME - Ste	ep to base on	anniversary			AFSCME - Step to base on anniversary					AFSCME - Step to base on anniversary					
		Police - Step	to base on a	nniversary		Police - Step to base on anniversary					Police - Step to base on anniversary						
⊆	Impact to General Fund Forecast	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
e		1,260,850	626,891	604,590	1,610,699	1,872,799	2,240,673	626,891	604,590	1,610,699	1,872,799	1,848,744	1,842,203	1,860,825	2,909,309	3,215,288	
ᅌ	Policy Indicator	✓	✓	✓	×	X	✓	✓	×	×	×	✓	×	×	X	×	
-				60% - Leave			60% - Lump Sum					60% - To Base					
8	Structure	Open Range	for Admin; S	tep for AFSCI	ME and Police	!	Open Range for Admin; Step for AFSCME and Police					Open Range for Admin; Step for AFSCME and Police					
I	Implementation	_	ge Penetratio			Current Range Penetration Capped at 60%					Current Range Penetration Capped at 60%						
C		(up to neare:	st step for AF	SCME and Po	olice)		(up to nearest step for AFSCME and Police)					(up to nearest step for AFSCME and Police)					
TRA	Performance Award in FY 2016	Annual Leave	e consistent :	with Chart 1 i	n green shee	t		ımp sum on a ed on perfori				Administrative - 3% to base on anniversary AFSCME - Step to base on anniversary Police - Step to base on anniversary					
	Performance Award in Future Yrs (for employees not at top of scale)	Administrati	ve - TBD, Ele	ment 4 of Stu	ıdy		Administrative - TBD, Element 4 of Study					Administrative - TBD, Element 4 of Study					
		AFSCME - Ste	ep to base on	anniversary			AFSCME - Step to base on anniversary					AFSCME - Step to base on anniversary					
	(to employees not accept a searcy	Police - Step to base on anniversary					Police - Step to base on anniversary					Police - Step to base on anniversary					
	Impact to General Fund Forecast	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	
	Impact to General Fund Forecast	112010															
	Impact to General Fund Forecast	1,444,220	816,426	800,507	1,813,224	2,082,167	2,428,627	816,426	800,507	1,813,224	2,082,167	2,034,864	2,037,423	2,062,619	3,117,909	3,430,937	

Financially Sustainable with Minor Revenue and Expenditure Adjustments in Future Years (<\$7 million impact to forecast; <2% per year)

Will Likely Need a Major Revenue or Expenditure Adjustment in FY 2018/2019 (\$7 to \$8 million impact to forecast; 2% per year) Will Likely Need a Major Revenue or Expenditure
Adjustment in FY 2017/2018 and FY 2019/2020
(\$10+ million to forecast; >2% per year)



Recommendations / **Action Items**

> **Options for** Compensation

Classification **Structure**

Fiscal Impacts

FY 2016 COLA

Next Steps

Fiscal Impacts – Future Salary Increases

- The "Impact to General Fund Forecast" figures all assume an increase in base salaries of 3% in future years
- If the Mayor and Council's intention is to provide at least a 2.7% step or performance increase, plus a COLA for FY 2017 and beyond, then the 3% estimate in the forecast is not sufficient
- The General Fund is not able to support a COLA, in addition to step or performance increases, in future years without committing to major revenue and/or expenditure adjustments
- "Major" is defined as **changes that result in at least \$1 million** in budget capacity



Recommendations / **Action Items**

> **Options for** Compensation

Classification Structure

Fiscal Impacts

FY 2016 COLA

Next Steps

FY 2016 COLA

- If the Mayor and Council chose TRACT A, they have the option to increase the proposed payscales by the 2% COLA after the employees are placed in the new ranges
- If the payscales shift, all employees would receive 2% to their base salary unless they are over the adjusted maximum (currently 4 admin. employees would be over the adjusted maximums)
- If the Mayor and Council chose TRACT B, the payscales would automatically shift by the 2% in order to keep AFSCME and Police employees on step



Recommendations / Action Items

Options for Compensation

Classification Structure

Fiscal Impacts

FY 2016 COLA

Next Steps

Recommendations / Action Items

- 1. Maintain the adopted comp. philosophy or modify the philosophy to accommodate step pay plans
- 2. Approve a new classification structure for FY 2016 consistent with the results of the Study
- 3. Approve a new pay structure (single or multiple) consistent with the info. provided by the consultant
- 4. Approve an implementation approach
- 5. Decide whether or not to shift pay ranges in FY 2016 by the 2% cost of living adjustment
- Decide whether or not additional compensation or performance awards should be provided in FY 2016



Recommendations / Action Items

Options for Compensation

Classification Structure

Fiscal Impacts

FY 2016 COLA

Next Steps

Next Steps

- If a new pay structure and implementation approach is approved, staff will bring back the **resolution for FY 2016** and the **City Code change** necessary to pay several employees above the proposed maximums
- Finance staff will present an amendment to the FY
 2016 budget ordinance to reflect any financial changes
- Staff will communicate with employee groups about the impact of the structure on their classification, adjust all salaries that are impacted by the changes, and update pay tables and job descriptions
- Staff will begin working with the consultant on Element 4
 of the Study, with an FY 2017 implementation date